Generating Permutations.
Ranking and Unranking Permutations.
The Pigeonhole Principle.
The Inclusion and Exclusion Principle

Isabela Drămnesc UVT

Computer Science Department, West University of Timișoara, Romania

October 5, 2021

If A is a finite set with n elements then

If A is a finite set with n elements then

An arrangement of r out of n (or r-permutation) of A is an ordered sequence of elements from A.

If A is a finite set with n elements then

- \triangleright An arrangement of r out of n (or r-permutation) of A is an ordered sequence of elements from A.
 - \triangleright A permutation of A is an ordered sequence of all elements of A.

If A is a finite set with n elements then

- \triangleright An arrangement of r out of n (or r-permutation) of A is an ordered sequence of elements from A.
 - \triangleright A permutation of A is an ordered sequence of all elements of A.
- \triangleright A combination of r out of n from A is a subset with r elements from A.

If A is a finite set with n elements then

- \triangleright An arrangement of r out of n (or r-permutation) of A is an ordered sequence of elements from A.
 - \triangleright A permutation of A is an ordered sequence of all elements of A.
- \triangleright A combination of r out of n from A is a subset with r elements from A.

- \triangleright The 2-permutations of A are (order is important!):
- \triangleright The permutations of A are:

If *A* is a finite set with *n* elements then

- \triangleright An arrangement of r out of n (or r-permutation) of A is an ordered sequence of elements from A.
 - \triangleright A permutation of A is an ordered sequence of all elements of A.
- \triangleright A combination of r out of n from A is a subset with r elements from A.

- Description The 2-permutations of A are (order is important!): $\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle, \langle a_2, a_1 \rangle, \langle a_1, a_3 \rangle, \langle a_3, a_1 \rangle, \langle a_2, a_3 \rangle, \langle a_3, a_1 \rangle$
- \triangleright The permutations of A are:

If *A* is a finite set with *n* elements then

- \triangleright An arrangement of r out of n (or r-permutation) of A is an ordered sequence of elements from A.
 - \triangleright A permutation of A is an ordered sequence of all elements of A.
- \triangleright A combination of r out of n from A is a subset with r elements from A.

- Description The 2-permutations of A are (order is important!): $\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle, \langle a_2, a_1 \rangle, \langle a_1, a_3 \rangle, \langle a_3, a_1 \rangle, \langle a_2, a_3 \rangle, \langle a_3, a_1 \rangle$
- \triangleright The 2-combinations of A are (order is irrelevant!): $\{a_1, a_2\}, \{a_1, a_3\}, \{a_2, a_3\}$
- \triangleright The permutations of A are:

If A is a finite set with n elements then

- \triangleright An arrangement of r out of n (or r-permutation) of A is an ordered sequence of elements from A.
 - \triangleright A permutation of A is an ordered sequence of all elements of A.
- \triangleright A combination of r out of n from A is a subset with r elements from A.

- Description The 2-permutations of A are (order is important!): $\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle, \langle a_2, a_1 \rangle, \langle a_1, a_3 \rangle, \langle a_3, a_1 \rangle, \langle a_2, a_3 \rangle, \langle a_3, a_1 \rangle$
- \triangleright The 2-combinations of A are (order is irrelevant!): $\{a_1, a_2\}, \{a_1, a_3\}, \{a_2, a_3\}$
- \triangleright The permutations of A are:

$$\langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle$$
, $\langle a_1, a_3, a_2 \rangle$, $\langle a_2, a_1, a_3 \rangle$, $\langle a_2, a_3, a_1 \rangle$, $\langle a_3, a_1, a_2 \rangle$, $\langle a_3, a_2, a_1 \rangle$



In the first part of this lecture we will learn

- How to order permutations, such that we can talk about:
 - be the first permutation, the second permutation, a.s.o.
- How to generate directly the k-th permutation
- How to find directly the rank of a given permutation.

Relations of order for *r*-permutations

Assume A is a finite set with n elements.

- First, we order the elements of set A
 - $\Rightarrow A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \text{ where}$ $a_1 = \text{first element}$ \dots $a_n = \text{the } n\text{-th element.}$
 - \Rightarrow A becomes an ordered set (an alphabet) in which $a_1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_n$.
- ② the *r*-permutations are "words" $\langle b_1,...,b_r \rangle$ of length r which we order like the words in a dictionary, for example:

$$\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle < \langle a_1, a_3 \rangle < \langle a_2, a_1 \rangle < \dots$$

This way of ordering *r*-permutations is called lexicographic ordering:

 $\langle b_1, \ldots, b_r \rangle < \langle c_1, \ldots, c_r \rangle$ if there is a position k such that $b_i = c_i$ for $1 \le i < k$, and $b_k < c_k$.



Relations of order for *r*-permutations

Preliminaries

Let $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ be an ordered set with $a_1 < \ldots < a_n$ and $N = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

- **①** The *r*-permutations of *A* are "words" of the form $\langle a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_r} \rangle$ with $i_1, \ldots, i_r \in N$.
- $\langle a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_r} \rangle$ is an *r*-permutation of *A* if and only if (i_1, \ldots, i_r) is an *r*-permutation of *N*.

 \Rightarrow it is sufficient to know how to order and to enumerate the r-permutations of numbers from the set N.

From now on we will consider only the *r*-permutations of the ordered set $A = \{1, ..., n\}$.

Rank of an r-permutation

The rank of an r-permutation is the position where the r-permutation occurs in lexicographic order, starting from position 0.

Example $(A = \{1, 2, 3\})$

2-permutation	rank	permutation	rank
$\langle 1, 2 \rangle$	0	$\langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$	0
$\langle 1, 3 \rangle$	1	$\langle 1, 3, 2 \rangle$	1
$\langle 2,1 \rangle$	2	$\langle 2,1,3 \rangle$	2
$\langle 2, 3 \rangle$	3	$\langle 2, 3, 1 \rangle$	3
$\langle 3,1 \rangle$	4	$\langle 3,1,2 \rangle$	4
$\langle 3,2 \rangle$	5	$\langle 3,2,1 \rangle$	5

Problem Problem

How can we compute directly (and reasonably fast) the permutation of $N = \{1, ..., n\}$ that is after the permutation $\langle p_1, ..., p_n \rangle$ in lexicographic order?

permutation	next permutation
$\langle 5,1,3,2,4 \rangle$	
$\langle 5,2,4,3,1 \rangle$	
$\langle 5,4,3,2,1 \rangle$	

Problem Problem

How can we compute directly (and reasonably fast) the permutation of $N = \{1, ..., n\}$ that is after the permutation $\langle p_1, ..., p_n \rangle$ in lexicographic order?

permutation	next permutation
$\langle 5,1,3,2,4 \rangle$	$\langle 5,1,3,4,2 \rangle$
$\langle 5,2,4,3,1\rangle$	
$\langle 5,4,3,2,1 \rangle$	

Problem Problem

How can we compute directly (and reasonably fast) the permutation of $N = \{1, ..., n\}$ that is after the permutation $\langle p_1, ..., p_n \rangle$ in lexicographic order?

permutation	next permutation
· - · · ·	·
(5, 1, 3, 2, 4)	$ \langle 5, 1, 3, 4, 2 \rangle $
\-\ \alpha \cdot \	
(5, 2, 4, 3, 1)	$ \langle 5, 3, 1, 2, 4 \rangle $
	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
(5, 4, 3, 2, 1)	
$\langle \circ, \cdot, \circ, -, - \rangle$	

Problem Problem

How can we compute directly (and reasonably fast) the permutation of $N = \{1, ..., n\}$ that is after the permutation $\langle p_1, ..., p_n \rangle$ in lexicographic order?

permutation	next permutation
$\langle 5,1,3,2,4 \rangle$	$\langle 5,1,3,4,2 \rangle$
$\langle 5,2,4,3,1\rangle$	$\langle 5,3,1,2,4 \rangle$
$\langle 5,4,3,2,1 \rangle$	none

Enumerating the permutations in lexicographic order

The permutation after $\langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle$ in lexicographic order can be computed as follows:

Enumerating the permutations in lexicographic order

The permutation after $\langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle$ in lexicographic order can be computed as follows:

• Find i such that $p_i > \ldots > p_n$ is the longest decreasing suffix of $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle$

Enumerating the permutations in lexicographic order

The permutation after $\langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle$ in lexicographic order can be computed as follows:

- Find i such that $p_i > \ldots > p_n$ is the longest decreasing suffix of $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle$
- ② Find $j \ge i$ such that p_j is the smallest number greater than p_{i-1} .

Enumerating the permutations in lexicographic order

The permutation after $\langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle$ in lexicographic order can be computed as follows:

- Find i such that $p_i > \ldots > p_n$ is the longest decreasing suffix of $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle$
- ② Find $j \ge i$ such that p_j is the smallest number greater than p_{i-1} .
- **1** Permute p_j with p_{i-1} , and then reverse the suffix p_i, \ldots, p_n .

Enumerating the permutations in lexicographic order

The permutation after $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle$ in lexicographic order can be computed as follows:

- Find i such that $p_i > \ldots > p_n$ is the longest decreasing suffix of $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle$
- ② Find $j \ge i$ such that p_j is the smallest number greater than p_{i-1} .
- **1** Permute p_j with p_{i-1} , and then reverse the suffix p_i, \ldots, p_n .

$$\langle p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5 \rangle = \langle 5, 2, 4, 3, 1 \rangle$$

$$\langle 5, 2, 4, 3, 1 \rangle$$

$$\downarrow i = 3$$

Enumerating the permutations in lexicographic order

The permutation after $\langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle$ in lexicographic order can be computed as follows:

- Find i such that $p_i > \ldots > p_n$ is the longest decreasing suffix of $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle$
- ② Find $j \ge i$ such that p_j is the smallest number greater than p_{i-1} .
- **3** Permute p_j with p_{i-1} , and then reverse the suffix p_i, \ldots, p_n .

Enumerating the permutations in lexicographic order

The permutation after $\langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle$ in lexicographic order can be computed as follows:

- Find i such that $p_i > \ldots > p_n$ is the longest decreasing suffix of $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle$
- ② Find $j \ge i$ such that p_j is the smallest number greater than p_{i-1} .
- **1** Permute p_j with p_{i-1} , and then reverse the suffix p_i, \ldots, p_n .

$$\langle p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5 \rangle = \langle 5, 2, 4, 3, 1 \rangle$$

$$\langle 5, 3, 4, 2, 1 \rangle \quad \text{invert } \langle p_i, \dots, p_n \rangle = \langle 4, 2, 1 \rangle$$

$$i = 3$$

Enumerating the permutations in lexicographic order

The permutation after $\langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle$ in lexicographic order can be computed as follows:

- Find i such that $p_i > \ldots > p_n$ is the longest decreasing suffix of $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle$
- ② Find $j \ge i$ such that p_j is the smallest number greater than p_{i-1} .
- **1** Permute p_j with p_{i-1} , and then reverse the suffix p_i, \ldots, p_n .

$$\langle p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4,p_5\rangle = \langle 5,2,4,3,1\rangle$$

$$\langle 5,3,1,2,4\rangle = \text{next permutation}$$

Enumeration of permutations in lexicographic order

Pseudocode

```
NextPermutation(p: int[0 .. n-1])
i := n - 2:
while (p[i] > p[i+1])
   i--;
i := n - 1:
while (p[i] < p[i])
  i--;
// swap p[i] with p[j]
tmp := p[i]:
p[i] := p[i];
p[i] := tmp;
// revert (p[i+1], ..., p[n-1])
for (k := 0; k < |(n-i-1)/2|; k++)
     // swap p[i+1+k] with p[n-1-k]
      tmp := p[i + 1 + k];
     p[i+1+k] := p[n-1-k];
     p[n-1-k] := tmp;
return p;
```

Problems

- How to compute directly the rank of a permutation $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle$ of $N = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ in lexicographic order?
- ② How to compute directly the permutation $\langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle$ of $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$ with rank k?

 Note that the rank is a number between 0 and n! 1.

• Let r be the rank of a permutation $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle$.

• Let r be the rank of a permutation $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle$.

 \Rightarrow in general, $(p_1 - 1) \cdot (n - 1)! < r < p_1 \cdot (n - 1)!$

$$\begin{array}{l} \rhd \ \ \text{If} \ p_1 = 1 \ \text{then} \ 0 \leq r < (n-1)! \\ \rhd \ \ \text{If} \ p_1 = 2 \ \text{then} \ (n-1)! \leq r < 2 \cdot (n-1)! \\ \ldots \\ \rhd \ \ \text{If} \ p_1 = k \ \text{then} \ (k-1) \cdot (n-1)! \leq r < k \cdot (n-1)! \\ \ldots \\ \rhd \ \ \text{If} \ p_1 = n \ \text{then} \ (n-1) \cdot (n-1)! \leq r < n \cdot (n-1)! = n! \end{array}$$

• Let r be the rank of a permutation $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle$.

▷ If
$$p_1 = 1$$
 then $0 \le r < (n-1)!$
▷ If $p_1 = 2$ then $(n-1)! \le r < 2 \cdot (n-1)!$

ho If $p_1 = k$ then $(k-1) \cdot (n-1)! \le r < k \cdot (n-1)!$

. . .

$$ho$$
 If $p_1 = n$ then $(n-1) \cdot (n-1)! \le r < n \cdot (n-1)! = n!$

⇒ in general,
$$(p_1 - 1) \cdot (n - 1)! \le r < p_1 \cdot (n - 1)!$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 rank of $\langle p_1,\ldots,p_n
angle=$ $(p_1-1)\cdot(n-1)!+$ rank of $\langle p_2,\ldots,p_n
angle$ in the lexicographic enumeration of the permutations of $\mathcal{N}-\{p_1\}$

• Let r be the rank of a permutation $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle$.

 \Rightarrow rank of $\langle p_1,\ldots,p_n
angle = (p_1-1)\cdot (n-1)!+$ rank of $\langle p_2,\ldots,p_n
angle$ in the lexicographic enumeration of the permutations of $N-\{p_1\}$

 \Rightarrow r can be computed recursively.

Example

- The permutation $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5 \rangle = \langle 2, 3, 1, 5, 4 \rangle$ has rank $r = (2-1) \cdot (5-1)! + \text{rank of } \langle 3, 1, 5, 4 \rangle$ in the lex. order of the permutations of $\{1, 3, 4, 5\}$.
 - rank of $\langle 3,1,5,4\rangle$ in the lex. order of the permutations of $\{1,3,4,5\}$ coincides with rank of $\langle 2,1,4,3\rangle$ in the lex. order of the permutations of $\{1,2,3,4\}$

(the values of all elements $p_1 = 2$ were decreased by 1)

- By recursion, we find out that the rank of (2, 1, 4, 3) is 7.
 - \Rightarrow rank of (2, 3, 1, 5, 4) is 24 + 7 = 31.

Pseudocode

```
Rank(p : int[0 .. n-1])
if n == 1
    return 0
else
   q : int[0 ... n-2]:
   // adjust p[1..n-1] to become a permutation of \{1,...,n-1\}
   // memorized in the array q[0 ... n-2]
   for(i := 1; i < n - 1; i++)
      if(p[i] < p[0])
           q[i-1] = p[i]:
       else
           q[i-1] = p[i] - 1;
   return Rank [q] + (p[0] - 1) \cdot (n - 1)!
```

Computing the permutation with a given rank

We look for an algorithm to compute directly the permutation $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle$ with rank r when $0 \le r < n!$.

- We already noticed that if the permutation $\langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle$ has rank r, then $(p_1-1) \cdot (n-1)! \le r < p_1 \cdot (n-1)!$
- $\Rightarrow p_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{r}{(n-1)!} \right\rfloor + 1$
- \Rightarrow If (q_1,\ldots,q_{n-1}) is the permutation with rank $r-(p_1-1)\cdot(n-1)!$ then

$$p_{i+1} = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} q_i & ext{if } q_i < p_1, \\ q_i + 1 & ext{if } q_i \geq p_1. \end{array}
ight.$$

for all $1 \le i < n$.



Minimum change permutations

- There are many other orders to generate all permutations, different from the lexicographic order.
- Often, we want the fast generation of all permutations:
 - This means to generate very fast the next permutation from the previous one.
 - ▷ In 1963, Heap discovered an algorithm that generates the next permutation by exchanging the values of only two elements.

Heap's algorithm is the fastest known algorithm to generate all permutations.

Algorithms for the fast generation of all permutations

Heap's algorithm: pseudocode

```
\begin{aligned} &\text{for}(i:=1;i\leq n;i++)\\ &p[i]:=i\\ &\text{for}(c:=1;c\leq n;c++)\\ &1. \text{ generate all permutations }\langle p[1],\ldots,p[n-1]\rangle \text{ without modifying }p[n];\\ &\text{ (at the end of step 1, $p$ contains the last generated permutation)}\\ &2. \text{ swap the value of }p[n] \text{ with that of }p[f(n,c)]\\ &\text{ where }f(n,c)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text{if $n$ is odd,}\\ c & \text{if $n$ is even.}\end{array}\right.\end{aligned}
```

Heap's algorithm: pseudocode

Remarks

Heap's algorithm: pseudocode

Remarks

 \triangleright Heap's algorithm generates all permutations of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ in an order different from the lexicographic order.

Heap's algorithm: pseudocode

Remarks

- ightharpoonup Heap's algorithm generates all permutations of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ in an order different from the lexicographic order.
- Every permutation differs from the previous one by a transposition (that is, a swap of the values of 2 elements).

Heap's algorithm: pseudocode

Remarks

- \triangleright Heap's algorithm generates all permutations of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ in an order different from the lexicographic order.
- Every permutation differs from the previous one by a transposition (that is, a swap of the values of 2 elements).

Example

Heap's algorithm enumerates the permutations of $\{1,2,3\}$ in the following order:

$$\langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle, \langle 2, 1, 3 \rangle, \langle 3, 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 3, 2 \rangle, \langle 2, 3, 1 \rangle, \langle 3, 2, 1 \rangle$$




Exercises (part 1)

- Write a program which reads a sequence of *n* numbers, and then it displays:
 - "a permutation" if the sequence is a permutation of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$
 - "not a permutation" otherwise.
- ② Write a program which reads numbers n and $r \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n! 1\}$, and then it displays the permutation $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with rank r.
- **3** Write a program which reads a permutation of $\{1, ..., n\}$ and it displays the rank of that permutation.
- Write a program which reads a permutation $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle$ and computes its *inverse*, that is, the permutation $\langle b_1, \ldots, b_n \rangle$ such that $b_{a_i} = a_{b_i} = i$ for all $1 \le i \le n$.


Exercises (part 2)

- Write a program which reads a permutation and computes the next permutation in lexicographic order.
- Write a program which reads a permutation and computes the previous permutation in lexicographic order.

- Suppose that a flock of 13 pigeons flies into a set of 12 pigeonholes.
- The number of holes is smaller than the number of pigeons ⇒ at least one pigeonhole must have at least 2 pigeons in it.



- Suppose that a flock of 13 pigeons flies into a set of 12 pigeonholes.
- The number of holes is smaller than the number of pigeons ⇒ at least one pigeonhole must have at least 2 pigeons in it.



The Pigeonhole Principle (or Dirichlet's Principle)

Let n be a positive integer. If more than n objects are distributed among n containers, then some container must contain more than one object.

Applications in combinatorial reasoning

Establish the existence of a particular configuration or combination in many situations.

Suppose 367 freshmen are enrolled in the lecture on combinatorics. Then two of them must have the same birthday.

PROOF. There are more freshmen than calendaristic days. By pigeonhole principle, at least 2 freshmen were born in same calendaristic day.

② *n* boxers did compete in a round-robin tournament. We know that no contestant was undefeated. Then two boxers must have the same record in the tournament.

PROOF. There are n boxers, and every boxer has between 0 and n-2 wins. (Note that no boxer has n-1 wins, because we know that no boxer was undefeated.)

By pigeonhole principle, at least 2 boxers must have the same winning record.

Generalization: Let m and n be positive integers. If more than $m \cdot n$ objects are distributed among n containers, then at least one container must contain at least m+1 objects.

PROOF: by contradiction. If we place at most m objects in all containers, then the total number of objects would be at most $m \cdot n$.

Theorem

If $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu = \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \ldots + a_n}{n}$, then there exist integers i and j with $1 \le i, j \le n$ such that $a_i \le \mu$ and $a_j \ge \mu$.

PROOF: by contradiction.

- If every element is strictly greater than μ then $\mu = (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n)/n > \frac{n \cdot \mu}{n} = \mu$, contradiction $\Rightarrow \exists a_i \leq \mu$.
- ullet If every element is strictly smaller than μ then $\mu = (a_1 + a_2 +$

$$\dots + a_n)/n < \frac{n \cdot \mu}{n} = \mu$$
, contradiction $\Rightarrow \exists a_j \geq \mu$.



Application 1: Monotonic subsequences

Definition (Monotonic sequence)

A sequence a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n is

- increasing if $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \ldots \leq a_n$
- strictly increasing if $a_1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_n$
- decreasing if $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \ldots \geq a_n$
- strictly decreasing if $a_1 > a_2 > \ldots > a_n$
- Consider the sequence 3, 5, 8, 10, 6, 1, 9, 2, 7, 4.
- What are the increasing subsequences of maximal length?

$$(3, 5, 8, 10), (3, 5, 8, 9), (3, 5, 6, 7), (3, 5, 6, 9)$$

• What are the decreasing subsequences of maximal length?

$$\langle 10, 9, 7, 4 \rangle$$



Application 1: Monotonic subsequences (continued)

Theorem

Suppose $m, n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$. A sequence of more than $m \cdot n$ real numbers must contain either an increasing subsequence of length at least m+1, or a strictly decreasing subsequence of length at least n+1.

Proof.

$$r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{m \cdot n+1}$$

For every $1 \le i \le m \cdot n + 1$, let

 $a_i :=$ length of longest increasing subseq. starting with r_i

 $d_i :=$ length of longest strictly decreasing subseq. starting with r_i

For example, if the sequence is 3, 5, 8, 10, 6, 1, 9, 2, 7, 4 then

 $a_2 = 3$ (for the subsequence 5, 8, 10 or 5, 8, 9)

 $d_2 = 2$ (for the subsequence 5, 1 or 5, 2)



Application 1: Monotonic subsequences (PROOF continued)

- We assume the theorem is false $\Rightarrow 1 \le a_i \le m$ and $1 \le d_i \le n$ \Rightarrow the pair (a_i, d_i) has $m \cdot n$ possible values.
- There are $m \cdot n + 1$ such pairs $\Rightarrow \exists i < j$ with $(a_i, d_i) = (a_j, d_j)$.
- If i < j and $(a_i, d_i) = (a_j, d_j)$ then
 - ① The maximum length of increasing subsequences starting from r_i and from r_j is a_i .
 - ② The maximum length of strictly decreasing subsequences starting from r_i and from r_j is d_i .
- But this is impossible, because
 - **1** If $r_i \le r_j$ then there is

$$r_i \leq r_j \leq \dots$$
length $a_i + 1$

② If $r_i > r_i$ then there is

$$r_i > r_j > \dots$$
length $d_i + 1$



Application 2: Approximating rational numbers

Application 2: Approximating rational numbers

For every real number $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we define:

• The floor of *x*:

 $\lfloor x \rfloor := \text{largest integer } m \text{ satisfying } m \leq x.$

Application 2: Approximating rational numbers

- The floor of x:
 - $\lfloor x \rfloor := \text{largest integer } m \text{ satisfying } m \leq x.$
- The ceiling of *x*:
 - $\lceil x \rceil := \text{smallest integer } m \text{ satisfying } x \leq m.$

Application 2: Approximating rational numbers

- The floor of x:
 - $\lfloor x \rfloor := \text{largest integer } m \text{ satisfying } m \leq x.$
- The ceiling of *x*:
 - $\lceil x \rceil := \text{smallest integer } m \text{ satisfying } x \leq m.$
- The fractional part of x:

$${x} := x - \lfloor x \rfloor$$

Application 2: Approximating rational numbers

- The floor of x:
 |x| := largest integer m satisfying m < x.
- The ceiling of x:
 [x] := smallest integer m satisfying x ≤ m.
- The fractional part of x: $\{x\} := x - |x|$
- An irrational number is a number that can not be obtained by dividing two integers.

Application 2: Approximating rational numbers

- The floor of x: |x| :=largest integer m satisfying $m \le x$.
- The ceiling of x: $\lceil x \rceil := \text{smallest integer } m \text{ satisfying } x \leq m.$
- The fractional part of x: $\{x\} := x \lfloor x \rfloor$
- An irrational number is a number that can not be obtained by dividing two integers.
- Examples: $\pi = 3.14159265...$, e = 2.7182818..., etc.

Application 2: Approximating rational numbers

- The floor of x:
 - $\lfloor x \rfloor := \text{largest integer } m \text{ satisfying } m \leq x.$
- The ceiling of *x*:
 - $\lceil x \rceil := \text{smallest integer } m \text{ satisfying } x \leq m.$
- The fractional part of x:

$${x} := x - \lfloor x \rfloor$$

- An irrational number is a number that can not be obtained by dividing two integers.
- Examples: $\pi = 3.14159265...$, e = 2.7182818..., etc.
- If α is an irrational number and $Q \in \mathbb{N} \{0\}$, how close can we approximate α with a rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ when 1 < q < Q?

Application 2: Approximating rational numbers

- The floor of x:
 - $\lfloor x \rfloor := \text{largest integer } m \text{ satisfying } m \leq x.$
- The ceiling of x:
 - $\lceil x \rceil := \text{smallest integer } m \text{ satisfying } x \leq m.$
- The fractional part of x:

$${x} := x - \lfloor x \rfloor$$

- An irrational number is a number that can not be obtained by dividing two integers.
- Examples: $\pi = 3.14159265...$, e = 2.7182818..., etc.
- If α is an irrational number and $Q \in \mathbb{N} \{0\}$, how close can we approximate α with a rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ when 1 < q < Q?
 - ullet How small can $\left| lpha rac{p}{q}
 ight|$ become when $1 \leq q \leq Q$?

Application 2: Approximating rational numbers (2)

Theorem (Dirichlet's approximation theorem)

If α is an irrational number and Q a positive integer, then there exists a rational number p/q with $1 \le q \le Q$ such that

$$\left|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}\right| \le \frac{1}{q \cdot (Q+1)}.$$

PROOF. Divide [0,1] into Q+1 subintervals of equal length:

$$\left[0, \frac{1}{Q+1}\right), \left[\frac{1}{Q+1}, \frac{2}{Q+1}\right), \dots, \left[\frac{Q}{Q+1}, 1\right]$$

and consider the Q+2 real numbers

$$r_1 = 0, r_2 = {\alpha}, {2\alpha}, \dots, r_{Q+1} = {Q\alpha}, r_{Q+2} = 1$$



Application 2: Approximating rational numbers (2)

• There are Q + 2 objects in Q + 1 intervals

Application 2: Approximating rational numbers (2)

• There are Q + 2 objects in Q + 1 intervals \Rightarrow there is i < j with r_i, r_j in same interval

Application 2: Approximating rational numbers (2)

Application 2: Approximating rational numbers (2)

$$r_1 = 0 \quad \cdot \alpha - 0$$
 $r_i = (i-1) \quad \cdot \alpha - \lfloor (i-1)\alpha \rfloor \quad \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq Q+1$
 $r_{Q+2} = 0 \quad \cdot \alpha - (-1)$

Application 2: Approximating rational numbers (2)

$$r_1 = 0 \quad \cdot \alpha - 0$$
 $r_i = (i-1) \quad \cdot \alpha - \lfloor (i-1)\alpha \rfloor \quad \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq Q+1$
 $r_{Q+2} = 0 \quad \cdot \alpha - (-1)$

- \Rightarrow every r_i is $u_i \cdot \alpha v_i$ with $u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and
 - if i < j then $u_i = u_j$ only if (i, j) = (1, Q + 2).

Application 2: Approximating rational numbers (2)

$$r_1 = 0 \quad \cdot \alpha - 0$$
 $r_i = (i-1) \quad \cdot \alpha - \lfloor (i-1)\alpha \rfloor \quad \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq Q+1$
 $r_{Q+2} = 0 \quad \cdot \alpha - (-1)$

- \Rightarrow every r_i is $u_i \cdot \alpha v_i$ with $u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and
 - if i < j then $u_i = u_j$ only if (i, j) = (1, Q + 2).

$$\Rightarrow |r_i - r_j| = |(u_i - u_j)\alpha - (v_i - v_j)| = \underbrace{|u_i - u_j|}_{q \in [1,Q]} \cdot |\alpha - \underbrace{\frac{v_i - v_j}{u_i - u_j}}_{\frac{\beta}{2}}| \leq \frac{1}{Q+1}.$$

Application 2: Approximating rational numbers (2)

$$r_1 = 0 \quad \cdot \alpha - 0$$
 $r_i = (i-1) \quad \cdot \alpha - \lfloor (i-1)\alpha \rfloor \quad \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq Q+1$
 $r_{Q+2} = 0 \quad \cdot \alpha - (-1)$

- \Rightarrow every r_i is $u_i \cdot \alpha v_i$ with $u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and
 - if i < j then $u_i = u_j$ only if (i, j) = (1, Q + 2).


$$\Rightarrow |r_i - r_j| = |(u_i - u_j)\alpha - (v_i - v_j)| = \underbrace{|u_i - u_j|}_{q \in [1,Q]} \cdot |\alpha - \underbrace{\frac{v_i - v_j}{u_i - u_j}}_{\frac{\rho}{q}}| \leq \frac{1}{Q+1}.$$

• Thus
$$\left|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}\right| \leq \frac{1}{q \cdot (Q+1)}$$
.



Illustrative example

- Suppose there are 50 beads in a drawer: 25 are glass, 30 are red, 20 are spherical, 18 are red glass, 12 are glass spheres, 15 are red spheres, and 8 are red glass spheres. How many beads are neither red, nor glass, nor spheres?
- Answer: use a Venn diagram with 3 overlapping sets: G of glass beads, R of red beads, and S of spherical beads.



OBSERVATION.
$$|G \cup R \cup S| =$$

$$|G| + |R| + |S| - |G \cap R| - |G \cap S| - |R \cap S| + |G \cap R \cap S|.$$

Assumptions:

- N: a universal set
- a_1, \ldots, a_r : properties of the elements of set N
- $N(a_{i_1}a_{i_2}...a_{i_m})$: the number of objects of N which have properties $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, ..., a_{i_m}$ simultaneously.
- N_0 : the number of objects having none of these properties.

Theorem (Principle of Inclusion and Exclusion)

$$N_0 = N - \sum_i N(a_i) + \sum_{i < j} N(a_i a_j) - \sum_{i < j < k} N(a_i a_j a_k) + \dots + (-1)^m \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_m} N(a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_m}) + \dots + (-1)^r N(a_1 a_2 \dots a_r).$$

Application 1: The Euler φ function

- $\varphi(n)$:= number of integers $1 \le m < n$ with gcd(m, n) = 1.
- Example: $\varphi(24)=8$ because there are 8 integers between 1 and 23 that have no factor in common with 24: 1,5,7,11,13,17,19,23.
- $\varphi(n)$ is very important in number theory.
- $\varphi(n)$ can be computed using the principle of inclusion and exclusion:
 - Suppose $n = p_1^{n_1} \dots p_r^{n_r}$ where p_1, \dots, p_r are distinct prime numbers, and $n_i > 0$ for $1 \le i \le r$.
 - Let a_i be the property "smaller than n and divisible by p_i " $(1 \le i \le r)$
 - $\bullet \Rightarrow \varphi(n) = N_0 = n \sum_i N(a_i) + \sum_{i < j} N(a_i a_j) + \ldots + (-1)^r N(a_1 \ldots a_r).$
 - $N(a_{i_1} \dots, a_{i_m})$ is the number of elements < n divisible by

$$p_{i_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot p_{i_m}\Rightarrow N(a_{i_1}\ldots a_{i_m})=\frac{n}{p_{i_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot p_{i_m}}.$$

Application 1: The Euler φ function

$$\varphi(n) = n - \sum_{i} \frac{n}{p_i} + \sum_{i < j} \frac{n}{p_i p_j} + \dots + (-1)^n \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_r}$$
$$= n \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i} \right).$$

• Example: $\varphi(24) = \varphi(2^3 \cdot 3) = 24 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right) = 8.$

Application 2: counting prime numbers

How many prime numbers are between 1 and n?

Application 2: counting prime numbers

How many prime numbers are between 1 and n?

REMARK: If n is not prime, then $n = a \cdot b$ with $1 < a \le b$ $\Rightarrow a^2 \le n$, so $a \le \sqrt{n}$ and n must be divisible by a prime number $p \le \sqrt{n}$.

Application 2: counting prime numbers

How many prime numbers are between 1 and n?

REMARK: If n is not prime, then $n = a \cdot b$ with $1 < a \le b$ $\Rightarrow a^2 \le n$, so $a \le \sqrt{n}$ and n must be divisible by a prime number $p \le \sqrt{n}$.

 \Rightarrow Criterion to count the prime numbers < n:

Application 2: counting prime numbers

How many prime numbers are between 1 and n?

REMARK: If n is not prime, then $n = a \cdot b$ with $1 < a \le b$ $\Rightarrow a^2 \le n$, so $a \le \sqrt{n}$ and n must be divisible by a prime number $p \le \sqrt{n}$.

- \Rightarrow Criterion to count the prime numbers < n:
 - Start with the set of integers $N = \{1, ..., n\}$ and count $N_0 =$ the number of elements left when multiples of prime numbers $p \le \sqrt{n}$ are excluded from the set.

Application 2: counting prime numbers

How many prime numbers are between 1 and n?

REMARK: If n is not prime, then $n = a \cdot b$ with $1 < a \le b$ $\Rightarrow a^2 \le n$, so $a \le \sqrt{n}$ and n must be divisible by a prime number $p \le \sqrt{n}$.

- \Rightarrow Criterion to count the prime numbers < n:
 - Start with the set of integers $N = \{1, ..., n\}$ and count N_0 = the number of elements left when multiples of prime numbers $p \le \sqrt{n}$ are excluded from the set.
 - The number obtained is not exactly what we want because
 - we did not count the prime numbers $\leq \sqrt{n}$
 - we did count 1

Application 2: counting prime numbers

How many prime numbers are between 1 and n?

REMARK: If n is not prime, then $n = a \cdot b$ with $1 < a \le b$ $\Rightarrow a^2 \le n$, so $a \le \sqrt{n}$ and n must be divisible by a prime number $p \le \sqrt{n}$.

- \Rightarrow Criterion to count the prime numbers < n:
 - Start with the set of integers $N = \{1, ..., n\}$ and count N_0 = the number of elements left when multiples of prime numbers $p \le \sqrt{n}$ are excluded from the set.
 - The number obtained is not exactly what we want because
 - we did not count the prime numbers $\leq \sqrt{n}$
 - we did count 1
 - The number we are looking for is

$$N_0 + r - 1$$

where *r* is the number of prime numbers $\leq \sqrt{n}$.



Application 2: counting prime numbers

Application 2: counting prime numbers

How many prime numbers are between 1 and 120?

• The largest prime number $\leq \sqrt{120}$ is 7

Application 2: counting prime numbers

How many prime numbers are between 1 and 120?

- The largest prime number $\leq \sqrt{120}$ is 7
 - Start with the universal set $N = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \le n \le 120\}$ and remove from N all elements divisible by a prime number ≤ 7 . This means, we remove from N the elements with properties
 - $a_1 =$ "is divisible by $p_1 = 2$ "
 - $a_2 =$ "is divisible by $p_2 = 3$ "
 - $a_3 =$ "is divisible by $p_3 = 5$ "
 - $a_4 =$ "is divisible by $p_4 = 7$ "

and obtain a set M with N_0 elements.

Application 2: counting prime numbers

How many prime numbers are between 1 and 120?

- The largest prime number $\leq \sqrt{120}$ is 7
 - Start with the universal set $N = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \le n \le 120\}$ and remove from N all elements divisible by a prime number ≤ 7 . This means, we remove from N the elements with properties
 - $a_1 =$ "is divisible by $p_1 = 2$ "
 - $a_2 =$ "is divisible by $p_2 = 3$ "
 - $a_3 =$ "is divisible by $p_3 = 5$ "
 - $a_4 =$ "is divisible by $p_4 = 7$ "

and obtain a set M with N_0 elements.

Q: Is N_0 the number we want to compute?

Application 2: counting prime numbers

How many prime numbers are between 1 and 120?

- The largest prime number $\leq \sqrt{120}$ is 7
 - Start with the universal set $N = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \le n \le 120\}$ and remove from N all elements divisible by a prime number ≤ 7 . This means, we remove from N the elements with properties
 - $a_1 =$ "is divisible by $p_1 = 2$ "
 - $a_2 =$ "is divisible by $p_2 = 3$ "
 - $a_3 =$ "is divisible by $p_3 = 5$ "
 - $a_4 =$ "is divisible by $p_4 = 7$ "

and obtain a set M with N_0 elements.

Q: Is N_0 the number we want to compute?

A: Almost correct, except that:

- M contains all prime numbers between 1 and 120, except $p_1 = 2$, $p_2 = 3$, $p_3 = 5$, $p_4 = 7$.
- *M* contains 1, which is not prime.



Application 2: counting prime numbers

How many prime numbers are between 1 and 120?

- The largest prime number $\leq \sqrt{120}$ is 7
 - Start with the universal set $N = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \le n \le 120\}$ and remove from N all elements divisible by a prime number ≤ 7 . This means, we remove from N the elements with properties
 - $a_1 =$ "is divisible by $p_1 = 2$ "
 - $a_2 =$ "is divisible by $p_2 = 3$ "
 - $a_3 =$ "is divisible by $p_3 = 5$ "
 - $a_4 =$ "is divisible by $p_4 = 7$ "

and obtain a set M with N_0 elements.

Q: Is N_0 the number we want to compute?

A: Almost correct, except that:

- M contains all prime numbers between 1 and 120, except $p_1=2,\ p_2=3,\ p_3=5,\ p_4=7.$
- *M* contains 1, which is not prime.
- The number of prime numbers ≤ 120 is $N_0 + 4 1$.



Application 2: counting prime numbers (continued)

Application 2: counting prime numbers (continued)

•
$$N_0 = 120 - \sum_{i=1}^4 N(a_i) + \sum_{i < j} N(a_i a_j) - \sum_{i < j < k} N(a_i a_j a_k) + N(a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4)$$

Application 2: counting prime numbers (continued)

•
$$N_0 = 120 - \sum_{i=1}^4 N(a_i) + \sum_{i < j} N(a_i a_j) - \sum_{i < j < k} N(a_i a_j a_k) + N(a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4)$$

- Note that $N(a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_m}) = \left\lfloor \frac{120}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_m}} \right\rfloor$ (why?) For example:
 - $N(a_1) = \lfloor 120/2 \rfloor = 60$, $N(a_2) = \lfloor 120/3 \rfloor = 40$, $N(a_3) = \lfloor 120/5 \rfloor = 24$, $N(a_4) = \lfloor 120/7 \rfloor = 17$
 - $N(a_1a_2) = \lfloor 120/(2\cdot 3) \rfloor = 20$, $N(a_1a_3) = \lfloor 120/(2\cdot 5) \rfloor = 12$,
 - $N(a_1a_2a_3a_4)=\lfloor 120/(2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 7)\rfloor=\lfloor 120/210\rfloor=0$

Application 2: counting prime numbers (continued)

How many prime numbers are between 1 and 120?

•
$$N_0 = 120 - \sum_{i=1}^4 N(a_i) + \sum_{i < j} N(a_i a_j) - \sum_{i < j < k} N(a_i a_j a_k) + N(a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4)$$

• Note that $N(a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_m}) = \left\lfloor \frac{120}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_m}} \right\rfloor$ (why?) For example:

•
$$N(a_1) = \lfloor 120/2 \rfloor = 60$$
, $N(a_2) = \lfloor 120/3 \rfloor = 40$, $N(a_3) = \lfloor 120/5 \rfloor = 24$, $N(a_4) = \lfloor 120/7 \rfloor = 17$

•
$$N(a_1a_2) = \lfloor 120/(2\cdot 3) \rfloor = 20$$
, $N(a_1a_3) = \lfloor 120/(2\cdot 5) \rfloor = 12$,

•
$$N(a_1a_2a_3a_4) = \lfloor 120/(2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 7)\rfloor = \lfloor 120/210\rfloor = 0$$

 $\Rightarrow N_0 = 120 - (60 + 40 + 24 + 17) + (20 + 12 + 8 + 8 + 5 + 3) - (4 + 2 + 1 + 1) + 0 = 27.$

Application 2: counting prime numbers (continued)

How many prime numbers are between 1 and 120?

•
$$N_0 = 120 - \sum_{i=1}^4 N(a_i) + \sum_{i < j} N(a_i a_j) - \sum_{i < j < k} N(a_i a_j a_k) + N(a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4)$$

• Note that $N(a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_m}) = \left\lfloor \frac{120}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_m}} \right\rfloor$ (why?) For example:

•
$$N(a_1) = \lfloor 120/2 \rfloor = 60$$
, $N(a_2) = \lfloor 120/3 \rfloor = 40$, $N(a_3) = \lfloor 120/5 \rfloor = 24$, $N(a_4) = \lfloor 120/7 \rfloor = 17$

• $N(a_1a_2) = \lfloor 120/(2 \cdot 3) \rfloor = 20$, $N(a_1a_3) = \lfloor 120/(2 \cdot 5) \rfloor = 12$,

•
$$N(a_1a_2a_3a_4) = \lfloor 120/(2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 7)\rfloor = \lfloor 120/210\rfloor = 0$$

 $\Rightarrow N_0 = 120 - (60 + 40 + 24 + 17) + (20 + 12 + 8 + 8 + 5 + 3) - (4 + 2 + 1 + 1) + 0 = 27.$

• The number we are looking for is 27 + 4 - 1 = 30



Bibliography

- Chapter 2, Section 2.1: Generating Permutations of
 - S. Pemmaraju, S. Skiena. *Combinatorics and Graph Theory with Mathematica*. Cambridge University Press 2003.
- 2 Chapter 2, Sections 2.4 and 2.5 of
 - J. M. Harris, J. L. Hirst, M.J. Mossinghoff. Combinatorics and Graph Theory. Second Edition. Springer 2008.
- Chapter 5 of
 - K. H. Rosen. *Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications*. Sixth Edition. McGraw Hill Higher Education. 2007.