

## Automated Theorem Proving, SS 2020. Seminar 7

1. Find the truth value of the formula  $F : \forall_x (P[x] \Rightarrow Q[f[x], a])$  under the interpretation:

$$I : \begin{cases} D = \{1, 2\} \\ a_I = 1 \\ f_I : D \rightarrow D & \begin{cases} f_I[1] = 1 \\ f_I[2] = 1 \end{cases} \\ P_I : D \rightarrow \{\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F}\} & \begin{cases} P_I[1] = \mathbb{T} \\ P_I[2] = \mathbb{F} \end{cases} \\ Q_I : D^2 \rightarrow \{\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F}\} & \begin{cases} Q_I[1, 1] = \mathbb{T} & Q_I[1, 2] = \mathbb{F} \\ Q_I[2, 1] = \mathbb{F} & Q_I[2, 2] = \mathbb{T} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

(Hint: In the lecture notes you have Example 3 at page 8 partially solved. Consider the case when  $x \rightarrow 2$ .)

2. Bring the following formulae into Skolem Standard Form:

(a)

$$\forall_{x y z} \exists \left( (\neg P[x, y] \wedge Q[x, z]) \vee R[x, y, z] \right)$$

(b)

$$\forall_{x y} \forall \left( (\exists_z P[x, z] \wedge P[y, z]) \Rightarrow \exists_u Q[x, y, u] \right).$$

(Hint: see the examples from the lecture notes.)

3. Transform the formulae  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$ , and  $\neg\psi$  into a set of clauses, where:

$$\varphi_1 : \quad \forall_{x y z} \exists P[x, y, z]$$

$$\varphi_2 : \quad \forall_{x y z u v w} \forall \left( ((P[x, y, u] \wedge P[y, z, v] \wedge P[u, z, w]) \Rightarrow P[x, v, w]) \wedge ((P[x, y, u] \wedge P[y, z, v] \wedge P[x, v, w]) \Rightarrow P[u, z, w]) \right)$$

$$\varphi_3 : \quad \forall_x (P[x, e, x] \wedge P[e, x, x])$$

$$\varphi_4 : \quad \forall_x (P[x, i[x], e] \wedge P[i[x], x, e])$$

$$\psi : \quad \left( \forall_x P[x, x, e] \right) \Rightarrow \forall_{u v w} \forall (P[u, v, w] \Rightarrow P[v, u, w])$$

4. Prove by resolution that  $\psi$  is a logical consequence of  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  where:

$$\varphi_1 : \exists_x \left( P[x] \wedge \forall_y (D[y] \Rightarrow L[x, y]) \right)$$

$$\varphi_2 : \forall_x \left( P[x] \Rightarrow \forall_y (Q[y] \Rightarrow \neg L[x, y]) \right)$$

$$\psi : \forall_x (D[x] \Rightarrow \neg Q[x])$$

(Hint: First transform the formulae  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \neg\psi$  into their clausal form, and then apply resolution to the obtained set of clauses.)

5. Prove by resolution that  $\psi$  is a logical consequence of  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$ , and  $\varphi_3$  where:

$$\varphi_1 : \forall_x (Q[x] \Rightarrow \neg P[x])$$

$$\varphi_2 : \forall_x \left( (R[x] \wedge \neg Q[x]) \Rightarrow \exists_y (T[x, y] \wedge S[y]) \right)$$

$$\varphi_3 : \exists_x \left( P[x] \wedge \forall_y (T[x, y] \Rightarrow P[y]) \wedge R[x] \right)$$

$$\psi : \exists_x (S[x] \wedge P[x])$$

(Hint: First transform the formulae  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \neg\psi$  into their clausal form, and then apply resolution to the obtained set of clauses.)