Automated Theorem Proving, SS 2019. Homework 2 (due 27 March 2019)

- 1. Write the tables of the boolean functions corresponding to \neg , \wedge , \vee , \Rightarrow , \Leftrightarrow . Using them, determine the truth value of:
 - The formula $(A \land (A \Rightarrow B)) \Rightarrow B$ under the interpretation $I = \{A \to \mathbb{T}, B \to \mathbb{F}\}$.
 - The formula $(P \Rightarrow Q) \iff (\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P)$ under the interpretation $I = \{P \rightarrow \mathbb{F}, Q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}\}.$
 - The formula $((A \lor B) \Rightarrow C) \iff ((A \Rightarrow C) \land (B \Rightarrow C))$ under the interpretation

$$I = \{A \to \mathbb{T}, B \to \mathbb{T}, C \to \mathbb{F}\}.$$

(Hint: The tables of boolean functions corresponding to \neg , \land , \lor , \Rightarrow , \Leftrightarrow correspond to the tables which we have outlined in the first lab and used for defining the semantics of logical connectives.)

- 2. Is it possible to have a formula that is both in conjunctive and disjunctive normal form. If so, give 5 examples.
- 3. Construct the sequent proof (proof tree) for $(A \vee B) \Rightarrow C \models (A \Rightarrow C) \wedge (B \Rightarrow C)$.
- 4. In the "¬∧- calculus", prove the correctness of the following inference rules:

(a)
$$\frac{\Phi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \vdash \Psi}{\Phi, \varphi_1 \land \varphi_2 \vdash \Psi} (\land \vdash)$$

(b)
$$\frac{\Phi, \varphi \vdash \Psi}{\Phi \vdash \neg \varphi, \Psi} (\vdash \neg)$$

- 5. Using the " $\neg \land$ calculus", derive the sequent rules for
 - (a) disjunction in the assumption
 - (b) disjunction in the goal
 - (c) implication in the assumption
 - (d) implication in the goal