Evolution Strategies

- Particularities
- General structure
- Recombination
- Mutation
- Selection
- Adaptive and self-adaptive variants

Particularities

Evolution strategies: evolutionary techniques used in solving continuous optimization problems

History: the first strategy has been developed in 1964 by Bienert, Rechenberg si Schwefel (students at the Technical University of Berlin) in order to design a flexible pipe

Main ideas [Beyer &Schwefel – ES: A Comprehensive Introduction, 2002]:

- Use one candidate (containing several variables) which is iteratively evolved
- Change all variables at a time, mostly slightly and at random.
- If the new set of variables does not diminish the goodness of the device, keep it, otherwise return to the old status.

Particularities

Data encoding: real (the individuals are vectors of float values belonging to the definition domain of the objective function)

Main operator: mutation (based on parameterized random perturbation)

Secondary operator: recombination

Particularity: self adaptation of the mutation control parameters

General structure

Problem (minimization):

Find x* in D Rn such that

 $f(x^*) < f(x)$ for all x in D

The population consists of elements from D (vectors with real components)

Rmk. A configuration is better if the value of f is smaller.

Structure of the algorithm

Population initialization Population evaluation

REPEAT

construct offspring by recombination

recombination

change the offspring by mutation

offspring evaluation

survivors selection

UNTIL <stopping condition>

Resource related criteria

(e.g.: generations

number, nfe).
Neural and Evolutionary Computing -

Lecture 8

Criteria related to the convergence

(e.g.: value of f)

Recombination

Aim: construct an offspring starting from a set of parents

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} c_i x^i$$
, $0 < c_i < 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^{\rho} c_i = 1$

Intermediate (convex): the offspring is a linear (convex) combination of the parents

$$y_{j} = \begin{cases} x_{j}^{1} & \text{with probability } p_{1} \\ x_{j}^{2} & \text{with probability } p_{2} \\ \vdots & & \\ x_{j}^{\rho} & \text{with probability } p_{\rho} \end{cases}$$

$$0 < p_i < 1, \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} p_i = 1$$

Discrete: the offspring consists of components randomly taken from the parents

Recombination

Geometrical recombination:

$$y_j = (x_j^1)^{c_1} (x_j^2)^{c_2} ... (x_j^{\rho})^{c_{\rho}}, \quad 0 < c_i < 1, \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} c_i = 1$$

Remark: introduced by Z. Michalewicz for solving constrained optimization problems with constraints involving the product of components (e.g. $x_1x_2...x_n > c$)

Heuristic recombination:

 $y=x^i+u(x^i-x^k)$ with x^i an element at least as good as x^k

u – random value from (0,1)

Recombination

Simulated Binary Crossover (SBX)

- It is a recombination variant which simulates the behavior of one cut point crossover used in the case of binary encoding
- It produces two children c1 and c2 starting from two parents p1 and

p2

$$c_1 = -\frac{\beta}{2}(p_2 - p_1)$$

$$c_2 = -\frac{\beta}{p} + \frac{\beta}{2}(p_2 - p_1)$$

$$p = (p_1 + p_2)/2$$

Rmk: β is a random value generated according to the distribution given by:

$$c_{2} = \frac{-\beta}{p} + \frac{\beta}{2}(p_{2} - p_{1})$$

$$prob(\beta) = \begin{cases} 0.5(n+1)\beta^{n} & \beta \leq 1 \\ 0.5(n+1)\frac{1}{\beta^{n+2}} & \beta > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$prob(\beta) = \begin{cases} 0.5(n+1)\beta^{n} & \beta \leq 1 \\ 0.5(n+1)\frac{1}{\beta^{n+2}} & \beta > 1 \end{cases}$$

Rmk: n can be any natural value; high values of n lead to children which are close to the parents

Basic idea: perturb each element in the population by adding a random vector

$$x' = x + z$$

 $z = (z_1, ..., z_n)$
random vector with mean 0 and
covariance matrix $C = (c_{ij})_{i,j=1,n}$

Particularity: this mutation favors the small changes of the current element, unlike the mutation typical to genetic algorithms which does not differentiate small perturbations from large perturbations

Variants:

• The components of the random vector are independent random variables having the same distribution $(E(z_iz_j)=E(z_i)E(z_j)=0)$.

Examples:

- a) each component is a random value uniformly distributed in [-s,s]
- b) each component has the normal (Gaussian) distribution N(0,s)

Rmk. The covariance matrix is a diagonal matrix C=diag(s²,s²,...,s²) with s the only control parameter of the mutation

Variants:

- The components of the random vector are independent random variables having different distributions (E(z_iz_j)= E(z_i)E(z_j)= 0)
 Examples:
 - a) the component z_i of the perturbation vector has the uniform distribution on $[-s_i,s_i]$
 - b) each component of the perturbation vector has the distribution $N(0, s_i)$

Rmk. The covariance matrix is a diagonal matrix: $C=diag(s_1^2,s_2^2,...,s_n^2)$ and the control parameters of mutation are $s_1,s_2,...,s_n$

Variants:

The components are dependent random variables

Example:


a) the vector z has the distribution N(0,C)

Rmk. There are n(n+1)/2 control parameters of the mutation:

$$s_1, s_2, ..., s_n$$
 - mutation steps

$$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$$
 - rotation angles (k=n(n-1)/2)

$$c_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (s_i^2 - s_j^2) \cdot tan(2 a_{ij})$$



Variants involving various numbers of parameters

[Hansen, PPSN 2006]


Problem: choice of the control parameters

Example: perturbation of type N(0,s)

- s large -> large perturbation
- s small -> small perturbation

Solutions:

- Adaptive heuristic methods (example: rule 1/5)
- Self-adaptation (change of parameters by recombination and mutation)



1/5 rule.

This is an heuristic rules developed for ES having independent perturbations characterized by a single parameter, s.

Idea: s is adjusted by using the success ratio of the mutation

The success ratio:

p_s= number of mutations leading to better configurations / total number of mutations

- Rmk. 1. The success ratio is estimated by using the results of at least n mutations (n is the problem size)
 - 2. This rule has been initially proposed for populations containing just one element

1/5 Rule.

$$s' = \begin{cases} s/c & \text{if } p_s > 1/5 \\ cs & \text{if } p_s < 1/5 \\ s & \text{if } p_s = 1/5 \end{cases}$$

Some theoretical studies conducted for some particular objective functions (e.g. sphere function) led to the remark that c should satisfy 0.8 <= c<1 (e.g.: c=0.817)

Remarks:

 This rule was proposed for ESs involving just one candidate; it cannot be directly extended in the case of populations of candidates

Self-adaptation

Idea:

- Extend the elements of the population with components corresponding to the control parameters
- Apply specific recombination and mutation operators also to control parameters
- Thus the values of control parameters leading to competitive individuals will have higher chance to survive

Extended population elements

$$\overline{x} = (x_1, ..., x_n, s)$$

$$\overline{x} = (x_1, ..., x_n, s_1, ..., s_n)$$

$$\overline{x} = (x_1, ..., x_n, s_1, ..., s_n, a_1, ..., a_{n(n-1)/2})$$

Steps:

- Change the components corresponding to the control parameters
- Change the variables corresponding to the decision variables

Example: the case of independent perturbations

Remark:

The recommended recombination for the control parameters is the intermediate recombination

Variant proposed by Michalewicz (1996):

$$x'_{i}(t) = \begin{cases} x_{i}(t) + \Delta(t, b_{i} - x_{i}(t)) & \text{if } u < 0.5 \\ x_{i}(t) - \Delta(t, x_{i}(t) - a_{i}) & \text{if } u \ge 0.5 \end{cases}$$
$$\Delta(t, y) = y \cdot u \cdot (1 - t/T)^{p}, \ p > 0$$

- a_i and b_i are the bounds of the interval corresponding to component x_i
- u is a random value in (0,1)
- t is the iteration counter
- T is the maximal number of iterations

CMA – ES (Covariance Matrix Adaptation –ES) [Hansen, 1996]

Initialize $m \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I}$, and $p_c = \mathbf{0}$, $p_{\sigma} = \mathbf{0}$,

set
$$c_{\mathbf{c}} \approx 4/n$$
, $c_{\sigma} \approx 4/n$, $c_{\text{cov}} \approx \mu_{\text{eff}}/n^2$, $\mu_{\text{cov}} = \mu_{\text{eff}}$, $d_{\sigma} \approx 1 + \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{\text{eff}}}{n}}$, λ , and $w_i, i = 1, \ldots, \mu$ such that $\mu_{\text{eff}} \approx 0.3 \, \lambda$, where $\mu_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_i^2}$ While not terminate $x_i = m + \sigma z_i, \quad z_i \sim \mathcal{N}_i(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C})$, sampling $m \leftarrow m + \sigma \langle z \rangle_{\text{sel}}$ where $\langle z \rangle_{\text{sel}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_i z_{i:\lambda}$ update mean $p_{\mathbf{c}} \leftarrow (1 - c_{\mathbf{c}}) p_{\mathbf{c}} + \mathbb{1}_{\{\|p_{\sigma}\| < 1.5 \sqrt{n}\}} \sqrt{1 - (1 - c_{\mathbf{c}})^2} \sqrt{\mu_{\text{eff}}} \, \langle z \rangle_{\text{sel}}$ cumulation for \mathbf{C} C $\leftarrow (1 - c_{\text{cov}}) \, \mathbf{C} + c_{\text{cov}} \, \frac{1}{\mu_{\text{cov}}} p_{\mathbf{c}} p_{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathrm{T}}$ update \mathbf{C} + $c_{\text{cov}} \, \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu_{\text{cov}}}\right) \, \mathbf{Z}$ where $\mathbf{Z} = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} w_i z_{i:\lambda} z_{i:\lambda}^{\mathrm{T}}$ cumulation for σ σ $\leftarrow \sigma \times \exp\left(\frac{c_{\sigma}}{d_{\sigma}} \left(\frac{\|p_{\sigma}\|}{\mathbf{E}\||\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I})\|} - 1\right)\right)$ update of σ

Survivors selection

Variants:

 (μ,λ)

From the set of μ parents construct $\lambda > \mu$ offspring and starting from these select the best μ survivors (the number of offspring should be larger than the number of parents)

 $(\mu + \lambda)$

From the set of μ parents construct λ offspring and from the joined population of parents and offspring select the best μ survivors (truncation selection). This is an elitist selection (it preserves the best element in the population)

Remark: if the number of parents is rho the usual notations are:

$$(\mu/\rho + \lambda) \qquad (\mu/\rho, \lambda)$$

Survivors selection

Particular cases:

- (1+1) from one parent generate one offspring and chose the best one
- $(1,/+\lambda)$ from one parent generate several offspring and choose the best element
- (μ+1) from a set of μ construct an offspring and insert it into population if it is better than the worst element in the population

Survivors selection

The variant (µ+1) corresponds to the so called steady state (asynchronous) strategy

Generational strategy:

- At each generation is constructed a new population of offspring
- The selection is applied to the offspring or to the joined population
- This is a synchronous process

Steady state strategy:

- At each iteration only one offspring is generated; it is assimilated into population if it is good enough
- This is an asynchronous process

ES variants


$$(\mu, k, \lambda, \rho)$$
 strategies

Each element has a limited life time (k generations)

The recombination is based on [] parents

Fast evolution strategies:

The perturbation is based on the Cauchy distribution



$$\varphi(x) = \frac{s}{\pi(x^2 + s^2)}$$

Analysis of the behavior of ES

Evaluation criteria:

Effectiveness:

 Value of the objective function after a given number of evaluations (nfe)

Success ratio:

 The number of runs in which the algorithm reaches the goal divided by the total number of runs.

Efficiency:

 The number of evaluation functions necessary such that the objective function reaches a given value (a desired accuracy)

Summary

Encoding	Real vectors
Recombination	Discrete or intermediate
Mutation	Random additive perturbation (uniform, Gaussian, Cauchy)
Parents selection	Uniformly random
Survivors selection	(μ,λ) or $(μ+λ)$
Particularity	Self-adaptive mutation parameters