# Evolutionary Multiobjective Optimization

- Particularities of multiobjective optimization
- □ Multiobjective optimization methods
- Evolutionary multiobjective optimization

# Particularities

Multiobjective optimization = simultaneous optimization of several criteria

#### Examples:

- 1. Find the parameters of a product which simultaneously maximize the reliability and minimize the cost.
- 2. Solve a routing problem in a communication network such that both the costs and the network congestion are minimized.
- 3. Identify clusters in data such that the similarity between data in the same cluster is maximized while the similarity between data belonging to different clusters is minimized

# Particularities

Problem:  $f:R^n \to R^r$ ,  $f(x) = (f_1(x), ..., f_r(x))$ 

Find x\* which satisfies:

- (i) Inequality constraints:  $g_i(x^*) >= 0$ , i=1..p
- (ii) Equality constraints:  $h_i(x^*)=0$ , i=1..q
- (iii) Optimize (maximize or minimize each criterion)

Rmk: the criteria can be conflicting (e.g.: quality and price of a product: a higher quality usually implies a higher price)

# Particularities

Ex: r=2

 $f_1(x)=x^2$ ,  $f_2(x)=(x-2)^2$  for x in [-2,4]

Problem: Minimize both functions.

Is called Pareto solution

Remark: Does not exist x\* which minimizes simultaneously both functions

Idea: Find compromise solutions, e.g. x which satisfies: x in [0,2] – does not exist x' such that  $f_1(x') < f_1(x)$  and  $f_2(x') < f_2(x)$ Such a compromise solution

> Neural and Evolutionary Computing -Lecture 11

-2

-1

х

3

#### 1. Domination:

y dominates y' (for a minimization problem)

if y<sub>i</sub><=y'<sub>i</sub> for each i and the inequality is strict for at least one component



2. Non-dominated element (with respect to a set):

y is non-dominated with respect to V if there is no element in V which dominates y

The red elements are globally non-dominated



The green elements are nondominated with respect to the set of green and blue elements

#### 3. Pareto optimal solution

An element x is called Pareto optimal solution if there is no element x' such that f(x') dominates f(x)

The set of all Pareto optimal elements for a multiobjective optimization problem is colled Pareto optimal solution.



In this case the Pareto optimal set is [0,2]

4. Pareto Front

The set of values of the objective functions for all elements in the Pareto optimal set is called Pareto front



# Solving methods

- Transform the multicriterial problem in a one-criterial problem
  combine all criteria in just one criterion
- Aggregation method

$$\overline{f}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} w_i f_i(x), \quad w_i \in (0,1), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{r} w_i = 1$$

Advantages: the problem to be solved is easier

#### Disadvantages:

- □ For a set of parameters w one obtains just one solution
- The user has to specify appropriate values for w

# Solving methods

- Transform the multicriterial problem in a one-criterial problem
  combine all criteria in just one criterion
- Goal attainment method:

$$\overline{f}(x) = (\sum_{i=1}^{r} |f_i(x) - y_i^*|^p)^{1/p}, \quad y_i^* - \text{goal values}$$

Advantages: the problem to be solved is easier Disadvantages: the goal values should be known

# Solving methods

- 2. Approximate the Pareto optimal set by using a population of candidates
- Apply an EA in order to generate elements approaching the Pareto optimal set (and the corresponding Pareto from)
- The Pareto front approximation should satisfy at least two properties:
  - Closeness to the real Pareto front
  - Uniform spread of points



There are several techniques which can be used in order to obtain Pareto fronts which satisfy the two previous conditions:

- Use a selection process based on the non-dominance relation
- Use a crowding factor to stimulate the elements in less crowded regions
- Change the fitness function by using a sharing mechanism
- Mating restriction
- Ensure the elitism (e.g. use an archive)

#### Selection criteria:

- Use a nondomination rank (ex: NSGA Nondominated Sorting GA)
  - The population is organized in nondomination layers:
    - The first layer consists of nondominated elements
    - The nondominated elements in the set obtained by removing the first layer represent the second layer etc.

13

An element is better if its Second layer (rank=2) nondomination rank is smaller Third layer 3.5 During the selection process (rank=3) з the parents population is joined with offspring population and 2.5 First layer this set is increasingly sorted by (rank=1) 2 rank. Ω. 0.2 0.4 0.5 0.8 Neural and Evolutionary Computing -

Lecture 11

Selection criteria:

- The fitness value of an element will depend on:
  - □ The number of elements which it dominates (direct relationship)
  - □ The number of elements which dominate it (inverse relationship)

Ex: SPEA – Strength Pareto EA

#### Using a crowding factor

- Aim: stimulate the diversity of the Pareto front
- Idea: between two elements belonging to the same layer the one having a smaller crowding factor is preferred
- The crowding factor for an element is computed by using the distance between that element and the closest neigbours.

Value of the crowding factor: (a+b)/2



Sharing mechanism:

- Idea: if a group of individuals share the same resource their survival chance is increasing with the size of the resource and is decreasing with the size of the group
- The fitness of an element is adjusted by dividing its value by a function (called sharing function) which depends on the distances between the elements in the group.

$$a_i^{(s)} = \frac{a_i}{\sum_{j=1}^m s(d(x_i, x_j))}, \ s(d) = \begin{cases} 1 - (d/\sigma_s)^\alpha & d < \sigma_s \\ 0 & d \ge \sigma_s \end{cases}$$

Neural and Evolutionary Computing -Lecture 11

#### Sharing mechanism:

- Allows to differentiate elements which are mutually nondominated
- $\hfill \hfill \hfill$



#### Restricted mating:

- Idea: the crossover is accepted only for elements which satisfy some restrictions
- Goal: avoid the generation of low fitness.

Examples:

- 1. Accept as parents only elements which are similar enough
- 2. Accept as parents only nondominated elements

Archive:

- Aim: elitism (preserve the nondominate elements discovered during the evolution)
- The archive will be the approximation of the Pareto optimal set
- Disadvantage: it is necessary a mechanism to process the archive:
  - A new element is accepted in the archive only if it is nondominated
  - If the new element dominates some elements in the archive then these elements are eliminated
  - In order to avoid the increase of the archive it should be periodically reorganized (e.g. by clustering or by eliminating some of the elements which are too similar to other elements)

# Example: VEGA (Vector Evaluating Genetic Algorithm)

- First multiobjective evolutionary algorithm (1985) which does not use aggregation
- The general structure and crossover and mutation operators are similar to those used for single objective optimization
- The only specific is the selection process:
  - In the case of r criteria the selection operator (e.g. Proportional selection) is applied for r times; in this way the are constructed r subpopulations (each one with m/r elements, m being the the population size)
- Advantage: easy to implement
- Disadvantage: not effective for non-convex Pareto fronts

# Example: Lexicographic Ordering

- □ A priority is associated to each criterion
- The single objective problems corresponding to all criteria are independently solved in decreasing order of priorities
- Advantage: easy to implement
- Disadvantage: it requires priorities
- Remarks: if priorities are not available the criteria can be selected randomly

# Example: Multiobjective GA (MOGA)

- Proposed in 1993
- Each element has a rank wich is proportional with the number of other elements in the population which dominate it. The fitness is higher if the rank is smaller
- □ It uses a sharing function and restricted mating.

# Example: Nondominating Sorting GA (NSGA)

- Variants: NSGA-I (1993), NSGA-II (2000)
- Each element has a nondomination rank which is computed based on the identification of some nondomination layers:
  - The nondominated elements from the current population represent the first nondomination layer
  - The nondominated elements from the set obtained after removing the elements of the first layer represent the second layer etc.
- □ For population diversity stimulation:
  - □ NSGA-I uses a sharing function
  - NSGA-II uses a crowding factor

# Example: Niched Pareto GA (NPGA)

#### Proposed in: 1994

- It uses a tournament selection based on checking the nondomination property with respect to a population sample:
  - Random selection of two elements from the current population (x1 şi x2)
  - Random selection of a sample with q elements from the current population
  - Check is x1 and x2 are dominated by elements from the sample
    - □ If x1 is nondominated but x2 is dominated then select x1
    - □ If x2 is nondominated but x1 is dominated then select x2
    - If both of them are dominated then is used a sharing function to decide which element should be selected.

# Example: Strength Pareto EA (SPEA)

- □ First version (SPEA 1) was proposed in: 1999
- It uses an archive of nondominated elements which is updated during the evolutionary process
  - For each element in the archive is computed a so-called strength factor which is proportional to the number of elements in the population which are dominated by the archive element
  - The fitness of a population element is inverse proportional with the sum of the strength factors of all archive elements which dominate it

#### □ SPEA 2 has some improvements with respect to SPEA 1:

- When an element is evaluated both the dominating and the dominated elements are counted.
- It uses a technique for estimating the Pareto front density (based on the distance to the nearest neighbor).
- Let uses an archive truncation technique

# Example: decomposition based techniques (MOEA/D)

- Particularity: the multi-objective optimization problem is transformed in several single objective optimization problems
- MOEA/D has been proposed in 2007 and currently is one of the most effective multi-objective optimization algorithms (especially in the case of more than two criteria)
- □ MOEA/D idea:
  - It uses N weight vectors (w<sub>1</sub>,w<sub>2</sub>,...,w<sub>N</sub>); each vector contains r values (r=number of criteria)
  - For each of the r criteria there is known a reference value (the reference value for criteria i is denoted y<sub>i</sub>\*)
  - $\Box$  For each vector w<sub>i</sub> is solved a single-objective problem:
    - $\square \quad \text{minimize } \max_{j} \{ w_{ij} | f_j(x) y_j^* | \} \text{ (Cebisev criterion)}$

# Example: decomposition based techniques (MOEA/D)

- Elements to be specified in MOEA/D:
  - $\square$  N = number of subproblems
  - N weight vectors (they should be distributed as uniformly as possible)
  - $\Box$  T = number of neighbors of a weight vector

# Example: decomposition based techniques (MOEA/D)

#### MOEA/D general structure:

- Initialize N populations; inițialize a vector with reference values z=(z<sub>1</sub>,...,z<sub>r</sub>); inițialize an archive (empty set)
- At each generation, for each subproblem i:
  - Select x<sub>k</sub> and x<sub>l</sub> from the populations corresponding to the subproblems which are in the neighborhood of problem i
  - $\Box \quad Combine x_k \text{ with } x_l \text{ and construct } y$
  - □ Update z (if it is necessary)
  - □ Replace the neighbors of y with y (if it is better)
  - Remove the dominated elements from the archive and add y to the archive (if it is non-dominated)

### Archive usage



Lecture 11

### Summary

1984	first EMO approaches
1990	dominance-based population ranking dominance-based EMO algorithms with diversity preservation techniques
1995	attainment functions
2000	elitist EMO algorithms preference articulation convergence proofs test problem design quantitative performance assessment
	uncertainty and robustness running time analyses quality measure design
2010	MCDM + EMO quality indicator based EMO algorithms
	many-objective optimization statistical performance assessment

D.Brockhoff - Tutorial MOEA – GECCO 2013

## Summary

Bibliographical resource:

http://www.lania.mx/~ccoello/EMOO/EMOObib.html

(2940 references – september 2007)

(3519 references – november 2008)

(4388 references – october 2009)

(4861 references – february 2010) – no updates

(7806 references – march 2013) – [source: tutorial on MOEA – GECCO 2013]