Main goals of the assignment

- Learn about the differences between the efficiency and the improvement percentage using parallel version.
- Learn how to use a low number of processing elements in simulation of a large number of parallel tasks

The problem to solve

General overview

A parallel version of odd-even sorting that is efficient is requested.

Background

The odd-even sorting compares every 2 consecutive numbers in the array and swap them if first is greater than the second to get an ascending order array. It consists of 2 phases – the odd phase and even phase:

Odd phase: Every odd indexed element is compared with the next even indexed element.

Even phase: Every even indexed element is compared with the next odd indexed element.

Unsorted array: 2, 1, 4, 9, 5, 3, 6, 10

See the bellow figure for an example:

Step 1(odd):	2	1	4	9	5	3	6	10
Step 2(even):	1	2	4	9	3	5	6	10
Step 3(odd):	1	2	4	3	9	5	6	10
Step 4(even):	1	2	3	4	5	9	6	10
Step 5(odd):	1	2	3	4	5	6	9	10
Step 6(even):	1	2	3	4	5	6	9	10
Step 7(odd):	1	2	3	4	5	6	9	10
Step 8(even):	1	2	3	4	5	6	9	10
Sorted array:	1, 2, 3,	4, 5, 6,	9, 10					

Figure 1: Example

How to parallelize

The maximum degree of parallelism is n/2. Usually the number of processing elements is lower than n/2. Then we need to try an almost equal distribution of the coomparisons-exchanges on our cores. How we can do?

To do

- 1. Write the sequential code to implement odd-even sorting.
- 2. Write the OpenMP versions:
 - (a) group the n/2 comparisons-exchanges of one parallel step on the p threads;
 - (b) create a thread for each comparison-exchange (do not take into account the fact that we have $p \ll n$ by using n/2 the number of threads)

Introduce time records (hint: omp_get_wtime) before and after the part that is parallelized. Decide which version is optimal using a very large sequence to be sorted (millions order).

3. Record the times $T_p^{(n)}$ for the best variant in table like the following (with the maximum cores that you have, e.g. 8 or 16) – see the table

n p	1	2	4	8
100000				
200000				
400000				

Table 1: Put inside the boxes the recorded times

4. In order to compute the speedup we need to know the sorting time for the best sequential algorithm (quicksort!) Compute the speedups using

$$S_p^{(n)(odd-even)} = \frac{T_1^{(n)(quick-sort)}}{T_p^{(n)(odd-even)}}$$

and record them in a similar table with the above one.

- 5. Draw conclusions related to:
 - is the parallel version of odd-even effcient?
 - dependence of the answer on the problem dimension n.