Programming I

Course 13 Introduction to programming

What we talked about?

- Object Oriented Analyze/Design/Programming
- How to represent classes?
 - Code
 - Graphical notation
 - Informal notation

What we talk today?

- Object Oriented Principles
 - SOLID
 - GRASP

What can help to design an application?

- Experience and common sense
- Using OO principles
- Design patterns [not object of this course]

OO Principles

- Principle
 - Is a principle or basic technique that can be applied to design or write easy to maintain, flexible and extensible code
- Principiile OOD SOLID
 - SRP Single-responsiblity principle
 - OCP Open-closed principle
 - LSP Liskov substitution principle
 - ISG Interface segregation principle
 - DRY Dependency Inversion Principle

SRP - Single-responsiblity principle

- Every object in the system should have a single responsibility, and all the object's services should be focused in carrying out that single responsibility.
- ONLY one reason to change something!
- Code will be simpler and easier to maintain.
- Example
 - Container and Iterator (Container manages objects; Iterator traverses the container)
- How to spot multiple responsibilities?
 - Forming sentences ending in itself.

SRP - Single-responsiblity principle

- Every object in the system should have a single responsibility, and all the object's services should be focused in carrying out that single responsibility.
- How to spot multiple responsibilities?
 - Forming sentences ending in itself.



OCP - Open-closed principle

- OCP Classes should be open for extension and closed for modification
- Allowing change, but without modifying existing code => flexibility.
- Use inheritance to extend/change existing working code and don't touch working code.
- OCP can also be achieved using composition.

OCP - Open-closed principle

```
class Shape(object):
```



```
def __init__(self, type):
    self.type = type
```

```
class Shape(Object):
def __init__(self):
pass
```

```
def draw(self):
    if self.type == "Circle":
        print("Draw Circle")
    if self.type =="Rectangle":
        print("Draw Rectangle")
```

C = Shape("Circle")



class Circle(Shape):
 def draw(self):
 print("Draw Circle")

class Rectangle(Shape):
 def draw(self):
 print("Draw Rectangle")

LSP - Liskov substitution principle

- Subtypes must be substitutable for their base types.
- Well-designed class hierarchies
- Subtypes must be substitutable for their base class without things going wrong.



LSP - Liskov substitution principle



board = 3D-Board()
board.getTile(4,5) // does not make sense of 3D board

board = 3D-Board()
board.getTile(1,4,5)

ISG - Interface segregation principle

- Clients should not be forced to depend on methods they do not use
- Keep interfaces small, cohesive, and focused
- Whenever possible, let the client define the interface



DRY - Dependency Inversion Principle

- High-level modules should not depend on low-level modules.
 - Both should depend on abstractions
- Abstractions should not depend on details.
 - Details should depend upon abstractions
- Detail should be dependent on Policy.
 - This means that you should have the Policy define and own the abstraction that the detail implements

DRY - Dependency Inversion Principle

```
class Worker(object):
```

```
def work(self):
    print("... working")
```

```
class Manager(object):
    def __init__(self, worker):
        if not isinstance(worker, Worker):
            raise TypeError("Unexpectd Type")
        self.worker = worker
    def manage(self):
        self.worker.work()
```

```
class SuperWorker(object):
    def work(self):
        print("... working much more")
```

```
class IWorker(self):
    def work(self):
        pass
class Worker(IWorker):
    def work(self):
        print("... working")
class SuperWorker(IWorker):
    def work(self):
        print("... working much more ")
```

```
class Manager(object):
    def __init__(self, worker):
        if not isinstance(worker, IWorker):
            raise TypeError("Unexpectd Type")
        self.worker = worker
    def manage(self):
        self.worker.work()
```



GRASP

- GRASP
 - General
 - Responsibilities
 - Assignment
 - Software
 - Patterns (Principles)
- Describe fundamental principles of object design and responsibility
- Name chosen to suggest the importance of grasping fundamental principles to successfully design object-oriented software

GRASP Patterns

- Pattern
 - a named and well-known problem/solution pair that can be applied in new contexts, with advice on how to apply it in new situations and discussion of its trade-offs, implementations, variations, etc.
- A pattern is characterized by
 - A name
 - A problem it tries to solve
 - A solution

Patterns in engineering

- How do other engineers find and use patterns?
 - Mature engineering disciplines have handbooks describing successful solutions to known problems
 - Automobile designers don't design cars from scratch using the laws of physics
 - Instead, they reuse standard designs with successful track records, learning from experience
 - Should software engineers make use of patterns? Why?
- Developing software from scratch is also expensive
 - Patterns support reuse of software architecture design

GRASP Patterns

1. Information Expert

• assign responsibilities to class with knowledge

2. Creator

- knows the necessary details to create
- 3. Low Coupling
 - reduce connectivity

4. Controller

- use cases or system based classes
- 5. High Cohesion
 - does related things

6. Polymorphism

- behaviour depends on the type
- 7. Pure Fabrication
 - class based in software world
- 8. Indirection
 - avoid direct coupling with an intermediary
- 9. Protected Variations
 - information hiding open/close

Information Expert Pattern

- Problem
 - What is a general principle for assigning responsibilities/functions to objects?
- Solution
 - Assign a responsibility to the information expert, that is, the class that has the information necessary to fulfill the responsibility.

Information Expert Pattern

- Problem
 - Which class should determine the final mark the student receives in a course?
- Discussions
 - WorkItem?
 - The class can determine the value of an individual items, they can not determine the final mark.
 - Student?
 - The class should be assigned this responsibility since it knows about all of the work items (does not understand how the mark is calculated).
 - The class rely on the WorkItem class to determine the individual marks.

Course	Student	WorkItem
		+ getMark()

Read world analogy: who do you ask about X, you ask the expert who knows about X.

Information Expert Pattern

- The marking system can be modeled with the following domain classes: WorkItem, MarkingScheme, Student and Course.
- Consider the following responsibilities:
 - the calculation of the final grade for a student,
 - editing a working item,
 - the report of all the grades in a class,
 - a list of all the student name and numbers in the class,
- Using the Expert design pattern, decide which class if possible of the domain class should be assigned the given responsibility.
- If no domain class is possible, suggest a software class that should be responsible.

Creator pattern

- Problem
 - Who creates an instance of A?
- Solution
 - Assign B the responsibility to create an instance of class A if one of the following is true
 - B contains or aggregates A objects (in a collection)
 - B records instances of A objects
 - B closely uses A objects
 - B has the initializing data that will be passed to A when it is created.

Creator pattern

- The marking system can be modeled with the following domain classes: WorkItem, MarkingScheme, Student and Course.
- Problems
 - How is responsible for MarkingScheme creation?
 - How is responsible for WorkItem creation?

Low Coupling

- Problem
 - How to support low dependency, low change impact, increased reuse?
- Solution
 - Assign a responsibility so coupling is low.
- Coupling
 - a measure of how strongly one element is connected to, has knowledge of, or relies on other elements

Low Coupling

- <u>Coupling</u>
 - a measure of how strongly one element is connected to, has knowledge of, or relies on other elements
- Classes with strong coupling
 - suffer from changes in related classes
 - are harder to understand and maintain
 - are more difficult to reuse
- But coupling is necessary if we want classes to exchange messages!
- The problem is too much of it and/or too unstable classes.

Low Coupling

- Manager include the logic for working with
 - customers
 - Invoices
 - Logistics
 - ...

- Simply for everything.
 - "god objects" -> have too much responsibility -> create too many coupling
- The total number of references in the application is not that important, it's the number of references between objects what matters.
- Always try to make the class communicates with as few other classes as possible,



Controller

- Problem
 - Which first object beyond the User Interface (UI) layer receives and coordinates a system operations? (Who should be responsible for handling a system event?)
- Solution
 - Assign the responsibility for receiving and/or handling a system event to one of following choices:
 - Object that represents overall system, device or subsystem (façade controller)
 - Object that represents a use case scenario within which the system event occurs (a <UseCase>Handler)

Controller

- Controller classes provides the *glue* between the system events and software model.
- Entity, Boundary, and Control Objects
 - Entity objects are instances of domain classes.
 - Boundary objects represent the interaction between actors and the system
 - Control objects are in charge of realizing use cases.



https://4.bp.blogspot.com/-CVyZ1HnHFzc/WxNyRcQAv_I/AAAAAAAACYY/zmNG1chDqhAFK700Oz_cuyJdZX1-43jpgCLcBGAs/s1600/controler.png

High Cohesion

- Problem
 - How to keep objects focused, understandable and manageable?
- Solution
 - Assign the responsibility so that cohesion remains high.
- <u>Cohesion</u> a measure of how strongly related and focused the responsibilities of an element (class, subsystem, etc.) are

High Cohesion

- Degrees of cohesion
 - Very low cohesion
 - A class is solely responsible for many things in very different functional areas. If most programs are implemented in one class, then that class would have very low cohesion.
 - Low cohesion
 - A class has sole responsibility for a complex task in one functional area.
 - High cohesion
 - A class has moderate responsibilities in one functional area and collaborates with other classes to fulfill the task.
 - A real world analogy of low cohesion is a person that takes on too many unrelated responsibilities, especially ones that should properly be delegated to others

Polymorphism

- Problem
 - How to handle related but varying elements based on element type?
- Solution
 - Polymorphism guides us in deciding which object is responsible for handling those varying elements.
- Benefits
 - handling new variations will become easy.

Pure Fabrication

- Problem
 - What object should have the responsibility, when you do not want to violate High Cohesion and Low Coupling, or other goals, but solutions offered by Expert are not appropriate.
- Solution
 - Assign a highly cohesive set of responsibilities to an artificial or convenience class that does not represent a problem domain concept -- something made up, to support high cohesion, low coupling and reuse.

Pure Fabrication

Pure Fabrication suggests to create a new class for these new responsibilities

• Example

- Store the Course into a persistent format
- PersistentStorage is a fabrication
 - *it is made up from your imagination; it cannot be found in the Domain Model*
- Course remains well-designed high cohesion, low coupling
- PersistentStorage class is relatively cohesive sole purpose is to store/retrieve objects to/from a persistent system (database, files, ...)

PersistentStorage
+insert() +update() +delete()

Pure Fabrication

Without Pure Fabrication

Using Pure Fabrication



Indirectation

- Problem
 - How can we avoid a direct coupling between two or more elements?
- Solution
 - Indirection introduces an intermediate unit to communicate between the other units, so that the other units are not directly coupled.

Indirectation

- Problem
 - In a Sale System, there are multiple external third-party tax calculators that must be supported
 - Sale class is responsible to tax calculation
 - We want to keep the system independent from the varying external tax calculators

Protected Variation

- Problem
 - How to avoid impact of variations of some elements on the other elements.
- Solution
 - Provide a well defined interface so that the there will be no affect on other units.
 - Provides flexibility and protection from variations.
 - Provides more structured design.
- Example: polymorphism, data encapsulation, interfaces

Protected Variation. Examples

- Data encapsulation, interfaces, polymorphism, indirection, and standards are motivated by PV.
- Virtual machines are complex examples of indirection to achieve PV
- Service lookup: Clients are protected from variations in the location of services, using the stable interface of the lookup service.
- Uniform Access Principle



Conclusions

- GRASP provides a map of considerations to provide strong guidance for an OO designer
- But at the same time, GRASP still leaves a lot of room to the designer and creating a good design is still an art!
- Taking a look at GRASP—and really Applying UML and Patterns—is a good bet for OO designers who know the basics of OOP but are still inexperienced

Bibligraphy

- Larman, Craig. Applying UML and Patterns: An Introduction to Object-Oriented Analysis and Design and Iterative Development. Third edition, Prentice Hall, 2005
- Wirfs-Brock, RebeccaandMcKean, Alan. ObjectDesign: Roles, Responsibilities, and Collaborations. Addison-Wesley Professional, 2002
- Evans, Eric. *Domain-DrivenDesign: Tackling Complexity in the Heartof Software*. Addison-Wesley Professional, 2003